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Background
Recent trends in land management practices have led to dramatic population decline in bees and other insect pollinators (Cameron et al. 2011). Concerns about “Colony Collapse Disorder” in domestic honeybees, for example, have received widespread high-profile attention in the scientific community. While concerns have centered mainly on the domestic honeybee, native bees also provide indispensable, cost-free pollination services to crops production. Despite the value of native bee species, little is known about them in the Midwest region, and recent studies suggest their populations may be in decline specifically due to a lack of native vegetation in this highly agricultural landscape. Vegetable farms and lands managed for cellulose biofuels have the potential to provide usable habitat, but their utility is not well understood.

Methods

**Vegetable Farm Study**
- 18 pan traps were placed within crop rows at each of 10 vegetable farms in East and Central Iowa
- Pan traps were filled with soapy water and left in the field over a 24-hour period
- During each of the 24-hour trapping period, a 20-minute sweep netting of the sampling area was conducted when the ambient temperature was above 26.7°C without wind; bees within 5 meters of the researcher were netted, preserved, and identified in the lab
- Each farm was sampled once per month from May to August, 2015
- Bees were identified to genus level; the total bee abundance and diversity from both methods were combined for the data analysis
- The landscape within 1 km from each farm was identified using Land Use Land Cover map from Iowa DNR NRGIS library; five Land Cover categories (Forest, Wetland/Water, Corn/Soybean, Prairie, Other) were used to determine correlation with bee community composition

**Biofuel Study**
- Three types of biofuel production were studied: a switchgrass monoculture; a 16 species biomass mix; each biofuel type has 4 plots (0.5 ha each) as replicates
- 3 pan traps were placed in each of the 12 biofuel plots; in addition, each plot was sweep netted for one hour
- The biofuel plots were sampled once per month; pan trapping and sweep netting followed the same protocol as described above

**Biofuel Results**

**Bee abundance**
- Measured by sweep netting
- Weeds in the switchgrass monoculture provided sparse floral resources for bees
- Biomass treatment (16 species) had few early-summer flowers and lower bee abundances
- Prairie mix (32 species) had consistent and abundant floral resources, and higher bee abundance

**Bee diversity**
- Switchgrass had low bee diversity
- The 16-species biomass treatment had higher diversity, but also showed high variability
- The 32-species prairie treatment had the highest diversity
- The 32-species prairie treatment was also consistently diverse and had low variability
- Higher diversity mixes might yield an even higher number of genera if this study was continued further

**Community composition**
- This PCA shows the differences in bee community composition between the switchgrass (S), biomass (B), and prairie (P) treatments
- The high diversity prairie treatment has a different bee community than the other two treatments
- Bees in the switchgrass monoculture represent a subset of the biomass treatment
- The prairie and biomass treatments overlap somewhat in their community composition

**Total Abundance/Diversity**
- Farms ~ 867 total bees from 15 genera
- Agapostemon, Andrena, Augochlora, Augochlorrella, Bombus, Ceratina, Epeoloides, Eucera, Halictus, Hylaeus, LasioGLOSSOM, Melissodes, Sphexodes, Stelis, Xylocopa

**Future Directions**
We were unable to relate abundance of bees on each farm to surrounding landscape factors within one kilometer, suggesting that more localized habitat factors may influence the abundance of species whose flight range is limited to the on-farm area. We have identified and mapped on-farm landscape and vegetation factors which will be used to explain bee community composition and functional diversity in the future.
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**Farm Results**

**Landscape factors**
- Classified land cover within 1 km as soy/corn production, forest, prairie, water/wetland, and others
- Overall abundance at each of the farms is not correlated with the surrounding landscape and may be the result of more specific, on-farm factors
- Diversity, however, is negatively correlated with the soy/corn landscape factor
- Extensive corn/soy production inhibits diverse communities of bees in the Midwest

**Community composition**
- Bee communities vary significantly among farms
- Farms with similar amounts of surrounding corn/soy have similar community composition
- 2 of the farms with low surrounding corn/soy production have communities that lie outside of the main cluster.
- This suggests that lower surrounding corn/soy supports unique bee communities
- Farms with higher surrounding corn/soy may yield low diversity bee communities with lower capability of providing adequate pollination services

**Figure:** Rare rusty patch bumble bee (Bombus affinis) collected from the high diversity biofuel treatment on July 23, 2015.
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